IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

BEAR CREEK BIBLE CREEK et al.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 4:18-cv-00824-O
	§	
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT	§	
OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION et al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT

On November 22, 2021, the Court issued an Amended Memorandum Opinion and Order (ECF No. 124), granting in part and denying in part Motion to Certify Class (ECF No. 71); granting in part and denying in part Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 72); and granting in part and denying in part Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 95). On December 14, 2021, the Court issued its Final Judgment (ECF No. 126). Plaintiffs then filed Motions to Amend/Correct Class-Certification Order and to Amend/Correct Final Judgment (ECF Nos. 129, 130).

In accordance with the Court's Order (ECF No. 135), issued January 12, 2022:

- Defendants' Motion for summary judgment based on standing, ripeness, and sovereign immunity is denied.
- II. The Court enters judgment in favor of Braidwood and the Religious Business-Type Employers Class.
 - a. Plaintiffs' Religious Business-Type Employers Class is certified as to Claims 1–5.
 - b. The Class consists of for-profit entities producing secular products. While faith may be a motivating part of the businesses' missions, their incorporating documents generally do not include a religious purpose. For an employer like Braidwood, religion plays an important role, but is not the sole mission of the organization.

- c. Jonathan F. Mitchell, Gene P. Hamilton, Charles W. Fillmore, and H. Dustin Fillmore are appointed as class counsel.
- III. The Court enters judgment in favor of Braidwood and the All Opposing EmployersClass.
 - a. Plaintiffs' All Opposing Employers Class is certified as to Claims 4 and 5.
 - b. The Class consists of employers who oppose homosexual or transgender behavior on religious and nonreligious bases.
 - c. Jonathan F. Mitchell, Gene P. Hamilton, Charles W. Fillmore, and H. Dustin Fillmore are appointed as class counsel.
- IV. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment is denied as to Bear Creek Church and the Church-Type Employers Class is not certified, because these employers are not burdened by Title VII.
- V. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment is granted as to the Religious Business-Type Employers Class, and those in that class are protected by the Religious Freedom Restoration Act and the First Amendment.
 - a. Defendants are enjoined from enforcing Title VII against Braidwood and members of the Religious Business-Type Class in a manner that limits their right to establish employment policies in accordance with sincerely held religious beliefs.
- VI. Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment is granted as to employer policies on sexual conduct, dress codes, and restrooms. These policies do not violate Title VII as a matter of law.
 - a. Defendants are enjoined from enforcing Title VII against Braidwood and members of the All Opposing Employer Class in a manner that limits their right to create and maintain sex-neutral codes of conduct that do not target homosexual or transgender employees.
- VII. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is granted as to employer policies concerning bisexual conduct, sex-reassignment surgery, and hormone treatment.

Case 4:18-cv-00824-O Document 136 Filed 01/12/22 Page 3 of 3 PageID 2158

This is a final judgment that fully and finally resolves all remaining parties and claims to this suit and may be appealed. All relief not herein granted is denied.

SO ORDERED on this 12th day of January, 2022.

Reed O'Connor

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE